

| | | |
|---|----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/792,364 | SHAFFER ET AL. |
| | Examiner Harry S. Hong | Art Unit 2614 |

All Participants: _____ **Status of Application:** _____

(1) Harry S. Hong. (3) _____.

(2) Luke K. Pedersen (Reg. No. 45,003). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 April 2007 **Time:** 12:30 PM

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 9, 11, 12, 30, 37, 39, 50, 52, 53, and 57

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

In order to expedite prosecution, Mr. Pedersen agreed to amend above claims. Refer to the attached Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Harry S. Hong
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)